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Considering the dynamic nature of new generation scientific problems, load balancing is a necessity to manage the load in an efficient manner. Load balancing systems are used to optimize the resource consumption, maximize the throughput, minimize response time, and to prevent overload in resources. In current research, we consider operational distributed systems with dynamic variables caused by different nature of the applications and heterogeneity of the various levels in the system. The conducted studies indicate that many different factors should be considered to select the load balancing algorithm, including the processing power, load transfer and communication delay of nodes. In this work, We aim to design a dashboard that is capable of merging the load balancing algorithms in different environments. We design an adaptive system infrastructure with the ability to adjust various factors in the run time of a load balancing algorithm. We propose a task and a resource allocation mechanism and further introduce a mathematical model of load balancing process in the system. We calculate a normalized hardware score that determines the maturity of the system according to the environmental conditions of the load balancing process. The evaluation results confirm that the proposed method performs well and reduces the probability of system failure.
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Introduction

In the next-generation high performance computing (HPC) systems, the scientific programs are going to get more complex with unpredictable requests what requires large scale and more powerful computing systems [3]. Distributed computing system (DCS) is a promising solution to such demands. DCS consists of a group of computers, each of which has an independent operating system and all are connected through a network [12]. This definition of distributed computing systems is very general and covers all types of purposes for user resource connectivity. Users get connected to resources to receive different facilities and services like computing, file sharing, I/O sharing, and storage. In distributed computing systems with mission of high performance computing, the main concern of managing resources is reaching to minimum execution time and maximum throughput in running scientific/industrial programs [10].

In resource management framework, the load balancer is the key unit in efficient utilization of resources and improving response time [16]. Load balancing is the distribution of load among several computing resources such as computers, clusters, network communication lines, central processing units, or disk drives. The advantages of the load balancing could be categorized as: optimizing resource consumption, maximizing network throughput, reducing response time, and preventing overload in each resource. It is known that using multiple components in load balancing increases reliability and availability [5].

In load balancing mechanism, some parameters have direct impact in efficiency of load balancing operation. A group of these parameters are determined by specifying the status of nodes [1]. Practically, the nodes speed up in executing the commands and their current load are
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two important factors in specifying the status of the nodes and making decision to distribute
the load based on them. It is clear that, the load balancer should migrate and transfer the extra
load from over loaded nodes to the ones that are under loaded. Another group of parameters
are related to execution of load balancing mechanism that among them specifying the start
time of load balancing mechanism is an important issue. In one-shot strategy, when extra load
is imposed in the node, the load balancing operation starts to work [11]. The third group of
parameters are related to interconnection platform status. The important effective parameter
in load balancing mechanism from these parameters is the communication delay between the
nodes.

Load balancing should not only be considered in terms of a mechanism that can improve the
computing efficiency. Load balancing is a necessity for large scale computing systems. In such
systems, the whole computation goal may fail if the load balancing is not applied in the system.
on the other-hand, predetermined variables are commonly used in decision-making algorithms
by introducing unchangeable formulas and computing algorithms. Therefore, beside efficient and
optimized algorithms for load balancing, it is important to introduce a framework dashboard
that can also adapt to variation of parameters by choosing different algorithms, dynamically.
Therefore, the two usage of load balancing systems are as follows:

1. Reducing critical points in system: In fact, using load balancing improves the system avail-
   ability. If an adaptive load balancing system is used in the network and a node (hardware
   or software) corrupts, no failure time is felt from the user’s side.
2. Efficient load distribution by load balancing exploits the full computational power (maxi-
   mum available) of system elements.

Standard load balancing manager includes five activities: queuing, scheduling, monitoring,
resource control and accountants. As shown in Fig. 1, queuing is the first phase of load balancing
operation and in this unit the tasks are assigned by user, and the process ends by giving the
result of executed tasks to the user. The aim of these five activities is efficient matching of user-
specified workload to existing resources by keeping balance the load of the system. The standard
process of load balancing manager starts by receiving the jobs from users in queuing unit. After
placement of jobs in queues, scheduling unit is activated. Scheduling is a fundamental technique
for improving performance in computer systems. The scheduler has to balance the priorities of
each job, with the demands of other jobs, the existing system compute and storage resources,
and the governing policies dictated for their use by system administrators. Scheduler should be
able to deal with different types of jobs such as huge jobs, small jobs, real-time jobs, high-priority
jobs, dynamic jobs, static jobs and etc. The scheduler governs the order of execution based on
these independent priority assessments.

The present study aims to develop a load balancing dashboard to monitor the impact of
static and dynamic variables on the non-stop decision making process by the load balancer.
In current research, we consider distributed systems with dynamic variables. We aim to design
and model an adaptive dashboard that is capable to merge the load balancing algorithms in
different environments. We design an adaptive system infrastructure with the ability to adjust
various factors in the run time of a load balancing algorithm. We propose a task and a resource
allocation mechanism and further introduce a mathematical model of load balancing process in
the system. We calculate a normalized hardware score that determines the maturity of system
according to the environmental conditions of the load balancing process. The rest of this paper is
organized as follows: In section 1, we present the related works based on some recent researches.
and the investigation of Azure Load Balancing system. The effect of communication delay on load balancing is presented in Section 2 and Section 3 includes our proposed task and resource allocation mechanism. The mathematical model and scoring model are presented in Section 4, and evaluation results of the proposed method are presented in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper.

1. Related Works

1.1. Related Researches

Research studies conducted in this area pursued specific goals. The summarized significant goals in the literature are as follows:

1. In some of the studies, the goal is to propose new methods to improve the performance of current algorithms for different environments. These studies investigate the performance of the existing algorithms and proposed combined algorithms derived from the existing ones [15]. Usually, the authors compare the response time of the proposed algorithms with the existing ones by simulating the environment through simulation tools.

2. Some studies focus on load balancing algorithms in particular environments (such as public clouds) [19]. Generally, this approach aims to adapt and optimize load balancing algorithms based on the implementation variables.

3. Some researchers focus on mathematical approaches to improve the performance of load balancing algorithms. For example, heuristic optimization and artificial intelligence techniques [8].

4. Some studies focus on the scalability [13]. The scalability is related to the implementation structure. Normally, the workload of load balancing structures is not predictable (for example, when a load balancing structure is needed for dynamic load distribution among processors). Accordingly, the scalability may result in an adaptive load balancing system for a larger number of environments.
One of the main challenges of performing a load balancing process, is the right choice of algorithm. This choice, as mentioned earlier, is influenced by many factors. A lot of studies were conducted to find a proper algorithm. In [9], authors propose a dynamic parallel scheduling algorithm for load balancing. Several strategies are proposed to distribute the load using dynamic and distributed load balancing mechanisms, called sender-initiated and receiver-initiated strategies. In the sender-initiated strategy, a portion of the load of over-loaded processor is sent to another computing node [2]. In receiver-initiated strategy, the under-loaded processor initiates the transaction by sending a message to other nodes [6]. In [7], authors propose a load balancing mechanism for massive parallel computations based on sparse grid combination techniques. In [18], authors present an optimized load balancing algorithm by organizing the tasks in queues based on task data size and location. The implemented technique is a MATRIX. However, the portion of the load that should be transferred by forming unpredictable events in runtime is not specified. The proposed method in [17], named Slum++, employs multiple controllers so that each one manages a partition of computing nodes and participates in resource allocation through resource balancing techniques. The authors propose a monitoring-based weakly consistent resource stealing technique to achieve resource balancing in distributed high performance computing. In [14], authors investigate migration of applications for high performance computing by deriving respective requirements for specific field of applications. In [10], authors consider practical parameters such as communication delay and propose an optimized dynamic load balancing algorithm for exascale distributed computing systems.

1.2. Related Measures

1.2.1. Azure Load Balancing

In this section we review the Azure Load Balancing (ALB) system as a successful and practical business case as illustrated in Fig. 2. The ALB system is a load balancing service based on the process as a Service, which provides the highest level of network performance as well as the highest level of availability under UDP and TCP protocols. This system splits the traffic among healthy devices in a cloud structure or among distributed virtual machines. ALB is commonly used in the following cases:

- Splitting traffic of Internet access among virtual machines;
- Splitting traffic among virtual machines in a virtual network, among virtual machines in a cloud service, or among virtual machines and local computers in a local interconnect network; and
- Conducting external traffic on a specific virtual machine.

1.2.2. Required Attributes of ALB

In this section, we address the required information to register a task based on a practical sample of Azure Load Balancing. The following information is collected from the official website of the Ohio Super Cloud Computing Center. This website uses a batch file to allocate tasks to servers. In fact, this is a text file containing task allocation settings. The proprietary file formats are .job or .pbs. This file has its own structure and supports features like commenting. This center is used to accept a specific scripting task. The required attributes to register a task are as follows:
1. **Wall Back Time**: It represents the maximum allowed runtime for Job. This attribute is accepted in two formats: second and HH: MM: SS. This is an estimated time, and if our work exceeds it, it will be eliminated by the system.

2. **Nodes**: Through this attribute, the number of nodes and node characteristics are specified. It is also used to specify the number of processors per node or PPN, the number of GPUs per node or GPUN, and the type of node.

3. **Memory**: This attribute determines the total amount of memory in all nodes. This feature is used in special cases when requiring a high-memory node, or when there is no matching between the number of processors in the node and requested memory.

### 2. Effect of Communication Delay on Load Balancing

Delay time of load transferring from the nodes with positive load (over-loaded nodes) to nodes with negative load (under-loaded nodes) should be acceptable and reasonable. If this amount of the transferring delay be more than the execution time of instructions in that node, load balancer should use suitable policy such that the total time of program execution be efficient. For example, consider a node that includes 200 task for execution and the average number of task execution in this node is 100 tasks per second. In this situation, the load balancer of the system makes decision to transfer 80 tasks to other node, therefore this node should execute 120 task that due to execution time of one task in this node the total time executing 120 task will be 1.2 task/s. Now suppose that transferring time of each task to destination node is 0.02 second. In this situation, for transferring 80 tasks, 1.6 seconds is needed. Therefore, based on this load balancing policy, the source node (the node that distribute its extra load) dont have any task for execution at least for 0.4 second. On the other hand, the destination node also can finish its tasks execution long before receiving these new tasks (transferred load). In both cases, part of the capacity of the system that could be used to execute commands will be lost. This communication delay is considered in [10]. In this research authors introduce a compensating factor and find the optimum value based on the modeled optimization problem.
To illustrate the effect of load transfer delay, we consider a scenario and assume that all nodes have 300 initial tasks. The load execution speed is considered to be 200 tasks per second for each node and 100 tasks per second are transferred from one node to another. In this scenario, we assume that the external load is inserted to node 6 having node 4 as its sole neighbor depicted in Fig. 3.

Figure 3. Graph of a sample distributed system model

As depicted in Fig. 4, the node 4 performs all assigned tasks at $t=0.17$ and is in standby state till $t=5.05$ when the load from load balancing is reached to node 4. The load balancing is performed in a way that all nodes finish their tasks together. The standby time of node 4 causes extra delay in the system and node 4 finish its assigned tasks at $t=7.13$ while node 6 ends the tasks at $t=2.24$. According to the definition of total exertion time, the network execution time for assigned tasks is equal to $t=7.13$. So, it is obvious to compensate for this load transfer delay and to prevent the standby time in the system [10].

Figure 4. Effect of load transfer delay on idle time of systems
3. Proposed Mechanism

In this section, we outline the proposed system. The innovation of proposed mechanism is described in the following two subsections:

- Task allocation process; and
- Resource allocation process

The main procedure is depicted in Fig. 5. First the user needs to specify the resource allocation process to prioritize tasks for execution after determining the intended user algorithm (without the need for coding unlike the existing simulators). In the final step, the user executes the load balancing procedure and views the results.

![Figure 5. The general procedures of load balancing in the proposed system](image)

3.1. Entities Participating in the Load Balancing Process

3.1.1. Virtual Servers

The structure of the entity in the database is depicted in Fig. 6. In simple words, each virtual server can have one or more Hardware in this system. Each Hardware has exactly one Hardware Type, and each Hardware Type has one Unit. This structure is used to explain how the load balancing algorithm is implemented.

![Figure 6. Conceptual model of virtual servers](image)

3.1.2. Job/Task

The structure of task/job in the database is depicted in Fig. 7. Each job can have one or more Hardware Type in this system, and each Hardware Type in the Job needs a consumption. Therefore, we specify the hardware requirements for different hardware defined in system (in a
completely dynamic manner). We also use this structure to explain how to implement the load balancing algorithm in the following section.

![Job Conceptual Model](image)

**Figure 7.** Conceptual model of job/task

### 3.2. Resource Allocation Process

The proposed system can facilitate implementing the required algorithms and allocating resources in the environment. The general approach is to enable the user to implement algorithms and allocate resources without dealing with complex issues (compared to other existing simulators, such as SimGrid [4]), by using the structures described in the following section.

#### 3.2.1. Load Balancing and Resource Allocation Process

The load balancing and resource allocation processes are implemented in two ways that are described separately.

**Load balancing process using predetermined algorithms:** In this model, the load balancing and resource allocation processes are performed using the following steps:

1. Selecting tasks (jobs) from the task list defined in the system.
2. Selecting servers from the server list defined in the system.
3. Selecting an algorithm from the following algorithms for selecting tasks.
4. Applying the settings for the resource allocation process.
5. Executing the load balancing process using predefined formulas.

In this procedure, the load balancing process is executed according to the selected settings for all available queues of job. However, first, the tasks need to be sorted according to the selected algorithm to form queues. Simply put, the load balancing process is fully executed for all the job queues. After a complete execution, the load balancing process is executed for the next task in the queue for all servers (available in the server queue) according to the server settings. This procedure is summarized in Fig. 8.

**Load balancing process using dynamic algorithms:** The objective is to create a dynamic environment for executing load balancing algorithms according to the user requirements. The proposed system provides the ability to implement different load balancing algorithms in runtime through different settings applied by user. This model is depicted in Fig. 9.

### 4. Mathematical Model of the Proposed System

The load balancing process in proposed system, includes the following steps:

**Selecting the task with the highest priority:** The task with highest priority is selected from the queue and is applied to the available servers in the queue to start the load balancing process. **Filter 1 on servers:** This filter removes all servers that do not meet all the hardware...
requirements. For example, if a job requires four different types of hardware, only the servers that meet these requirements remain in the list.

\[\text{virtual Server} \Rightarrow \text{Distinct}(\text{HardwareType in Jobs}) \in \text{Distinct}(\text{HardwareType in VirtualServer})\]  \(1\)

**Filter 2 on servers**: Each hardware type in each server has a total capacity called briefly the Capacity. There is also an in-use value called In Use. For the current job, we filter all servers with the Capacity-In-use value higher than the required value for the job.

\[\Lambda_{\text{HardwareType,VirtualServer}} \Rightarrow \text{(Capacity-Inuse) in VirtualServer} > 0 \ \Lambda \ \text{(Capacity-Inuse) in VirtualServer} > \text{(Consumption) in Job}\]  \(2\)

Now it is time to rate servers according Capacity-In-use value, which we called remain later on. We will calculate the maximum remain for each hardware type on the servers and,
accordingly, rate each server. That is, the specific score of a hardware type for each hardware
type available on servers is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Hardware Type Score in VirtualServer} = \left( \frac{\text{Capacity-Inuse} \text{ of Current Hardware Type in Current VirtualServer}}{\max_{\text{Current Hardware Type in All VirtualServers}} \text{Capacity-Inuse}} \right)
\]

(3)

Given the fact that the previous approach leads to the elimination of the unit in computing,
we can calculate a server score according to the scores of different hardware types on a server
as follows:

\[
\text{Score of VirtualServer} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\text{Capacity-Inuse} \text{ of Current Hardware Type in Current VirtualServer}}{\max_{\text{Current Hardware Type in All VirtualServers}} \text{Capacity-Inuse}} \right)
\]

(4)

With regard to the score obtained for each server, we can determine the optimal server
for the job. Moreover, if the user selects dynamic variable values for participating in the load
balancing process (for each server), the values participated in the computing process according
to their data entry style in the software as follows:

\[
\text{Final Score of VirtualServer} = \sum_{i} \text{Hardware Type Score}[i] + \sum_{i} \text{Dynamic Variable}[i]
\]

(5)

5. Evaluation Results

5.1. Practical Example

In this part a practical example of the execution of the load balancing process is presented.
It is assumed that, according to the settings outlined, the load balancing process is started in the
system, using both dynamic and predetermined formulas. The current job specifications (Top
job in closed queue) and the available server specifications in server queue are presented in Tab.
1 and Tab. 2, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Name</th>
<th>Hardware Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job_01</td>
<td>CPU: 0.5 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAM: 3 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HDD: 200 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the first-phase filter, SRV_02 is eliminated due to not having all hardware types required.
In the second-phase filter, SRV_01 is eliminated due to having only 1 GB 8-7 RAM hardware type
that is less than the current job required RAM hardware type, 3 GB. We now need to calculate
the server score between SRV_03 and SRV_04 servers. According to all hardware types that the
job requires, we give scores to the servers. Therefore, SRV_03 server for GPU hardware type
is not given any score, because here this hardware type is not needed. The score is calculated between the servers remaining in the queue according to each hardware type based on that hardware type maximum. The score for SRV_03 and SRV_04 is illustrated in Tab. 3.

Table 3. Comparing Score for SRV_03 and SRV_04

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware Types In Current Job</th>
<th>Maximum Remain Between All Hardware Type in Servers</th>
<th>Score of SRV_03</th>
<th>Score of SRV_04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>2.9 Hz (SRV_03)</td>
<td>2.9 Hz/2.9 Hz =1</td>
<td>2.3 Hz/2.9 Hz= 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>13 GB (SRV_03)</td>
<td>13 GB/13 GB = 1</td>
<td>8 GB/13 GB= 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDD</td>
<td>1150 MB (SRV_04)</td>
<td>900 MB/1150 MB =0.78</td>
<td>1150 MB/1150 MB= 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So far, the score of each server is calculated based on the proposed algorithm. Now it is time to apply dynamic variable scores to the system. The result is presented in Tab. 4.

As depicted in Fig. 10 it is clear that the winner server is SRV_04.

5.2. Simulation Procedure

In this section, the simulation steps of a load balancing algorithm in the system is presented. We simulate the centralized information algorithm and centralized decision-making algorithm in our proposed dashboard. As mentioned earlier, system information is stored in a single node
Table 4. Calculating SRV.03 and SRV.04 Score in Dynamic Variable Case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server Name</th>
<th>Dynamic Variable Score</th>
<th>Current Score</th>
<th>Total Score (Dynamic Variable Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRV.03</td>
<td>-63 /100 = -0.63</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRV.04</td>
<td>26 /100 = 0.26</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. General comparison between SRV.03 and SRV.04

and the decision is applied by the same node in this category of algorithms. The central part is a subset of this algorithm. Then according to the described example, the dashboard can play the role of the center in this system. The developed algorithm is based on load balancing process using dynamic algorithms. At first, we consider some basic rules for the existing environment as follows:

**Rule 1**: Some services have uncertain latency in the system. However, the latency can be generally divided into three categories based on to the range of changes.

- Communication latency of less than 20 milliseconds;
- Communication latency of 21-80 milliseconds; and
- Communication latency of 81-150 milliseconds.

According to the job type in this environment and the execution time, servers with a latency of more than 151 milliseconds are considered to be rejected, and nothing refers to them.

**Rule 2**: The custodian of the environment in which the load balancing process is implemented is a subset of a major organ. According to this fact, the organization will always keep its most powerful server in reserve, according by order of the same organ, and this fact must be taken into account for the load balancing process.

**Rule 3**: Due to financial constraints for the custodian responsible for load balancing, some of these servers are subject to defects. This will make the custodian prefer, in equal conditions, that the job (task) is referred to the servers that are not subject to degradation problems.
Rule 4: Because of the geographical conditions of the area in which the servers are located, they are subject to high humidity. Therefore, humidity control devices are used to control the humidity level for the servers in different racks. Currently, it is preferable not to refer the job to some servers (located on the second floor of the building) which should also be considered in the implementation of the load balancing process. Given the limitations and conditions above, we need to implement this algorithm in the environment in such a way that we cover all the aforementioned aspects.

The Solution for Rule 1: The use of dynamic variables. Given the above and the sensitivity and importance of time, we define a dynamic variable called Communication Delay to apply the corresponding servers by using the following rules:

- Communication Delay is zero for servers with a latency of less than 20 milliseconds. So, we are allowed not to apply this variable to this category of servers because it will not affect the computing results;
- Communication Delay is -20 for servers with a latency of 21-80 milliseconds; and
- Communication Delay is -60 for servers with a latency of 81-150 milliseconds.

The Solution for Rule 2: The use of system settings when developing the resources allocation algorithm. This is anticipated when implementing the resource allocation process in the system. The user determines which server is the winner after the execution of load balancing process in the system. After determining the second server as the winner, the company’s most powerful server will be used as reserve.

The Solution for Rule 3: The use of dynamic variables. We can fully cover Rule 3 by defining a dynamic variable called Quality and set it as follows:

- For servers subject to degradation problems, we consider the value of Quality to be -40; and
- For the rest of the servers, we consider the value of this variable to be +40.

The Solution for Rule 4: The use of dynamic variables. We define a dynamic variable called Humidity with a value of -50 for the servers located on the second floor. Now with regard to the above, we can conclude that all the necessary infrastructures required for the implementation of the load balancing process are provided through the proposed solutions.

As depicted in Fig.11, the steps to implement a load balancing algorithm using the proposed system are as follows:

1. Full knowledge of the behavior of the algorithm in terms of both of the load balancing and resource allocation.
2. Implementation of load balancing approach and resource allocation approach using the dashboard facilities.
3. Applying the existing limitations and rules to the system by defining related dynamic variables.

Conclusion

Load balancing is a key necessity to many computing systems. Load balancing not only improves the performance but also decrease the system failure points. In practice, a load balancing algorithm include many different variables. Depending on the type, these variables, can have a wide level of influences on runtime as well as the overall system efficiency. In this research we proposed a flexible dashboard in the environments of load balancing algorithms. In this approach, we improved the flexibility of the system to be adaptive to dynamic variables. We
Figure 11. General method for implementing load balancing algorithms in the proposed dashboard

designed a rule-based dashboard to manage the load balancing process in real-time runtime. We proposed a scoring system to find the best computing node based on the task specification in an adaptive manner. We showed that our proposed system is capable if change the boundaries and limitations of the system, changing the load balancing process, introducing new variables and removing the existing ones and measuring the running algorithm in the system. We evaluated our proposed system based at a practical implementation. We also presented a simulation based on different rules in a limited environment. Evaluation results proved that the proposed system reduced the probability of the system failure and also determined the maturity of the system according to the environmental conditions of the load balancing process.
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